

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Declassification of House Committee Transcript
of CIA Testimony Regarding Leaks

FR

STAT

Deputy Chief, Polygraph Div.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

7 December 1984

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PD

7 Dec 84

I endorse the attached
comments of DC/PD

STAT

2.

3.

C/PB/PPG

12/12/84

Q

12/12/84: Per DC/PD, OK
to use strong language.
Stein's comments
have no basis in fact.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM
1-79

610

USE PREVIOUS
EDITIONS

GPO : 1983 O - 411-632

Page 57 - I personally do not agree with Mr. Stein's comments that the polygraph is less effective on the Chinese than the Americans. I also do not agree with the transcript correction from Chinese to "certain ethics" or "certain ethnic groups".

In my opinion, the polygraph can be said to work less effectively on those individuals who do not possess the proper mind set necessary for detection of deception as opposed to those who do have it or can be conditioned to have it. I know of no ethnic group or nationality who live by the lie and whose society does not impose at least some form of sanctions or disapproval for lying.

I personally reject Mr. Stein's comments on this topic as unfounded and recommend the Tab B approach to strike the comment completely. The mere change from "Chinese" to "certain ethics" is simply not true.

[redacted] I presume Mr. Stein is referring to the [redacted] case. I see no problem in leaving the comments in the transcript, providing SAG has no problem in this area.

STAT
STAT

The remaining comments Stein made about the polygraph, although not necessarily accurate, are not classified and can be left in tact.

SECRET**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional) Declassification of House Committee Transcript of
CIA Testimony Regarding Leaks

FROM		EXTENSION	NO.	25X1
Chief, Policy Branch/PPG 6S04 Stafford			DATE 3 December 1984	25X1
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. Chief, Polygraph Division 1F-16 Hqs.				25X1
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

Pat

Attached is the ~~off~~ material I mentioned to you last week. Please let me know what you think and we'll send the data to Dave.

You may wish also to suggest changing the work "deviation," in line 16, page 62, to "derivation."

The 10 December 1984 deadline I'm establishing in PPG is artificial, but I expect Dave really would appreciate a prompt response. Thanks.

SECRET

S E C R E T

OLL 84-4530
28 November 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:

[redacted]
Chief, Policy Branch, Office of Security

25X1

FROM:

[redacted]
Legislation Division
Office of Legislative Liaison

25X1

SUBJECT:

Declassification of House Committee Transcript
of CIA Testimony Regarding Leaks

1. The Staff Director of the Subcommittee on Civil Service of the House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service has requested that the Agency provide an unclassified transcript of the DDO's testimony before that Subcommittee on the harmful effects that leaks have on our nation's security. The DDO's testimony contains several highly classified examples of leaks. In March of 1984, the DDO approved unclassified summaries of each example contained in the DDO's testimony. These unclassified summaries were provided to the Staff Director, Andrew Feinstein. Mr. Feinstein indicated that these unclassified summaries were not sufficient because they did not convey the seriousness of the leak problem. He, therefore, requested that we conduct a line-by-line review of the transcript and delete only that information which is classified.

2. After careful review, the DDO has approved for release to the public a sanitized Committee transcript. (See transcript at Tab A.) Items which are to be deleted because they contain classified information are crossed out. For each deletion made, the Agency has substituted a generic description of the material deleted, or indicated that words have been deleted. The NSA is also attempting to sanitize and declassify a separate portion of the transcript containing examples of leaks pertaining to COMINT.

3. In several instances, the testimony of the DDO touches upon items dealing with the Office of Security. Before any of this information pertaining to the Office of Security can be released, it will be necessary to obtain the concurrence of appropriate officials within the Office of Security. Items

DOWNGRADED TO SECRET WHEN
SEPARATED FROM ATTACHMENTS

25X1

S E C R E T

S E C R E T

pertaining to the Office of Security can be found on pages 52-53, 55-57 and 61-62 of the transcript. You may recall that last spring you reviewed these items and approved for release some of the items. (Attached at Tab B is the original sanitization of Office of Security information.) After reviewing this original sanitization, Mr. Feinstein requested that the Office of Security consider deleting certain detailed information in the DDO's statement regarding the polygraph rather than the entire statement. The proposed limited sanitization of Office of Security information can be found on the aforementioned pages at Tab A. It is requested that you review this limited sanitization and provide us with your comments at your earliest convenience.

25X1

Attachments

S E C R E T

Page Denied

Next 71 Page(s) In Document Denied